UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,783	12/13/2001	Abdo Esmail Abdo	IBM / 156	6115
Thomas W. Humphrey Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street Cincinnati, OH 45202-2917			EXAMINER	
			ALAM, SHAHID AL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2162	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

\_\_\_\_\_

Ex parte Abdo Abdo

.

Application No. 10/017,783 Technology Center 2100

Mailed: May 14, 2009

.

Before KRISTA ZELE, Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator. ZELE, Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.

### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 18, 2009. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter requiring attention prior to docketing.

#### **TIMELINESS**

The review of the file reveals that the Appellant's "Response to Examiner's Answer", filed February 25, 2009, was not timely filed.

The Examiner must respond to the Appellant's communication of February 25, 2009. An explanation should be provided. Explain to Appellant that a "Request to Reopen Prosecution" filed in response to the "New Grounds" must be filed within two months of the Examiner's Answer as per MPEP § 1207.02 and 1207.03 (8<sup>th</sup> ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007). In this case, the Examiner's Answer to Appeal Brief was mailed August 5, 2008 and the Request to Reopen Prosecution must have been filed by October 5, 2008.

Any corrections made to the Examiner's Answer, but not related to the required items identified in MPEP § 1207.02, does not therefore allow for extensions of time or constitute a "Supplemental Examiner's Answer".

# **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner:

1) to notify Appellant(s) that the Response to Examiner's Answer filed on February 25, 2009 is untimely; and

Application No. 10/017,783

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/mev

Thomas W. Humphrey Wood, Herron & Evans, L.L.P. 2700 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street Cincinnati OH 45202-2917